

#### GLENN A. GRANT, J.A.D.

Acting Administrative Director of the Courts

Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex • P.O. Box 037 • Trenton, NJ 08625-0037

njcourts.gov • Tel: 609-376-3000 • Fax: 609-376-3002

#### Directive #09-24

Questions may be directed to the Criminal

Practice Division at 609-815-2900, x55300.

TO:

**Assignment Judges** 

**Trial Court Administrators** 

FROM:

Glenn A. Grant, J.A.D

RE:

Criminal Justice Reform – Pretrial Services Defendant

Compliance Reviews; Implementation of Amendments to Rule

3:26-2(c)

DATE:

September 13, 2024

The Supreme Court by <u>September 12, 2024</u> Order amended Rule 3:26-2(c) ("Modification of Release Conditions") to provide that Pretrial Services shall review eligible defendants who have been compliant with the conditions of pretrial monitoring for at least six months. If a defendant meets the criteria set out in the Rule, Pretrial Services shall recommend a reduction in the defendant's level of pretrial monitoring. This compliance review is <u>not</u> meant to modify the conditions of a defendant's release. The rule amendments are effective November 1, 2024.

The Court's action implements a recommendation<sup>1</sup> of the Joint Committee on Criminal Justice (JCCJ), which was reconvened by the Chief Justice in 2023 to review data and consider enhancing various procedures and policies related to Criminal Justice Reform in New Jersey. This Directive sets out the process for Pretrial Services compliance reviews and also is effective November 1, 2024.

## **Compliance Reviews for Eligible Defendants**

Pretrial Services staff shall conduct compliance reviews for eligible defendants on pretrial monitoring who have remained compliant with pretrial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See</u>, Recommendation 11 - <u>Report of the Reconvened Joint Committee on Criminal Justice (njcourts.gov).</u>









conditions for a six-month period and who are not excluded as set forth below. "Compliant" shall mean that no Violation of Monitoring (VOM) has been filed against the defendant in the preceding six-month month period.

The following individuals are <u>not</u> eligible for a compliance review:

- Defendants who are charged with an offense involving domestic violence (DV);
- Defendants who scored a six (6) on the New Criminal Activity risk scale on their most recent Public Safety Assessment (PSA);
- Defendants who were released from jail because their case could not be processed within the statutory speedy trial deadlines;
- Defendants who have been issued a violation of Monitoring (VOM) during the preceding six (6) months;
- Defendants who have been charged with a new offense, including in a jurisdiction outside of New Jersey, in the preceding six (6) months; and
- Defendants who have failed to appear in court in the preceding six (6) months.

All other individuals who remain compliant for six (6) months as defined above are entitled to a Defendant Compliance Review.

## **Defendant Compliance Review Process**

Once Pretrial Services determines that a defendant is eligible for a possible reduction in level of monitoring, Pretrial Services will complete the attached "Notification of Defendant Compliance Review" form (CN 13243), which will be distributed via eCourts to notify the judge, prosecutor, and defense counsel of the proposed reduction in monitoring level.

The parties shall then have ten (10) business days to file a written objection via eCourts. The written objection must set forth in detail the reasons the filing party believes that the defendant's level of monitoring should not be reduced.

When considering a recommended reduction in monitoring level, the court shall ensure the appropriate balance between public safety, the presumption of innocence, and the Criminal Justice Reform Act's (CJRA) requirement that conditions of release be the least restrictive necessary to ensure that defendants

appear in court when required and do not commit further offenses while on pretrial release.

If the prosecutor and/or defense counsel objects to a reduction in the defendant's level of monitoring, or if the court has concerns with granting a reduction in monitoring level, the court will conduct a hearing on the proposed reduction at the defendant's next court date, with an opportunity for all parties to be heard. Absent a written objection or concerns on the part of the court, the recommendation may be considered without a hearing.

Attached to this Directive is a customizable form order for the court to issue granting or denying the recommended change in monitoring level (CN 13244). If the decision is to reduce the defendant's monitoring level, the court must also enter an Amended Pretrial Release Order. As noted above, this compliance review is not meant to modify the conditions of a defendant's release, which conditions would remain in place and be reflected as still in place in the Amended Pretrial Release Order, for example, an existing no-contact condition of release. All orders will be distributed to the parties through eCourts.

If at any point during this process the defendant fails to remain "eligible" as set forth above, the Defendant Compliance Review shall be concluded, and the court will enter an appropriate order (using the attached customizable template).

## **Continued/Renewed Compliance**

A defendant will be eligible for another compliance review if the defendant remains compliant for an additional six (6) months following the court's entry of an order either granting or denying a recommended monitoring level reduction.

# Conclusion

As authorized by the Supreme Court, the Defendant Compliance Review process established in Rule 3:26-2(c) reaffirms the Judiciary's commitment to Criminal Justice Reform, including the value of incentivizing defendants' compliance with pretrial release conditions. This transparent process seeks to ensure that conditions of release are modified only when the appropriate conditions have been met. Consistent with the principles of Criminal Justice Reform, this process seeks to balance community safety with the presumption of a defendant's innocence.

Any questions about the amendments to Rule 3:26-2(c) or this Directive may be directed to the Criminal Practice Division by phone at (609) 815-2900, ext. 55300, or by email at <a href="mailto:AOCCrimPrac.mbx@njcourts.gov">AOCCrimPrac.mbx@njcourts.gov</a>.

#### Attachments:

- (1) Notification of Defendant Compliance Review (CN 13243)
- (2) Order [Granting/Denying] Proposed Reduction in Defendant's Level of Pretrial Monitoring (CN 13244)

cc: Chief Justice Stuart Rabner

Matthew J. Platkin, Attorney General

Jennifer Sellitti, Public Defender

**County Prosecutors** 

Presiding Judges (Criminal, Family, Municipal)

Jonathan Garelick, Chief of Staff (OAG)

Steven D. Bonville, Chief of Staff

**AOC** Directors and Assistant Directors

Clerks of Court

Special Assistants to the Administrative Director

Division Managers and Assistant Division Managers (Criminal, Family, Municipal)

		Superior Court of New Jerse Law Division – Criminal Part	•
State of New Jersey		County	,
V.		Case Number(s):SBI Number:	
	Defendant.	Notification of De Compliance R	
The above referenced defendant is curre attached order. The defendant has bee their Pretrial Release order for at least 1	n compliant witl		
Pretrial Services has reviewed the defer Directive #09-24 and determined that:	ndant for eligibil	ity pursuant to Rule 3:26-2(c)	and
<ul> <li>There are no charges pending that</li> </ul>	at involve dome	stic violence,	
<ul> <li>The most recent PSA results were</li> </ul>	e less than 6 fo	r new criminal activity,	
The defendant is not on release of	lue to statutory	speedy trial deadlines,	
<ul> <li>There have been no Violations of</li> </ul>	Monitoring dur	ing the preceding six (6) mont	hs,
<ul> <li>There have been no new charges</li> </ul>	s in any jurisdict	ion in the preceding six (6) mo	onths, and
<ul> <li>The defendant has consistently a</li> </ul>	ppeared in cou	t in the preceding six (6) mon	ths.
Since release, the defendant has been i	ndicted	□Yes	□No
Since release, the defendant's charges	have been dow	ngraded□Yes	□No
Since release, the defendant's charges I	have been mod	ified□Yes	□No
Since release, defendant has had a Defe	endant Complia	nce review granted □Yes	□No
Based on the above review, Pretrial Ser- Monitoring Level to Pursuar within ten (10) business days of this noti enter an appropriate order. This compliant release.	nt to Rule 3:26-2 ce, the court wi	2(c), if an objection is not filed Il conduct a defendant complia	through eCourts ance review and
If an objection is received in writing throuthe proposed reduction in the defendant			earing date on
Date	Submitted By		

	Superior Court of New Jersey Law Division – Criminal Part		
State of New Jersey v.	Case Number(s):		
Defendant.	Order [Granting/Denying] Proposed Reduction in Defendant's Level of Pretrial Monitoring		
Findings: On, Pretrial Services submitted a Review" to the court with notification to the attorneys of			
The parties were provided ten (10) business days to ob In response,	eject to the suggested reduction in monitoring.		
<ul> <li>□ No objection was filed by the parties.</li> <li>□ The State filed an objection on</li> <li>□ The Defense filed an objection on</li> <li>□ A hearing was held by the court on</li> </ul>	·		
Having reviewed the Notification of Defendant Complia and, having considered any objection or input submitted arguments of the parties during the hearing held on forth on the record, the proposed reduction in defendant from PML to PML is hereby:	d by the parties; and, having heard the, and, for the reasons set		
☐ GRANTED			
☐ <b>DENIED</b> for the following reasons:			
(Enter detailed reasons for denial.)			
This Order does not change the conditions of the defendant's pretrial release.			
Date Judge's Signat	IIra		