UNLAWFUL TAKING OF MEANS OF CONVEYANCE - MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATOR OR ANOTHER EXERCISING CONTROL

(N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10b and -10c)

Defendant, in Count	of the Indictment, is charged with the crime of unlawful
taking of a means of conveyance.	

(Read Indictment)

The pertinent portion of the statute defendant is charged with violating provides:

A person commits a crime . . . if, with purpose to withhold temporarily from the owner, he takes, operates or exercises control over a motor vehicle without the consent of the owner or other person authorized to give consent.¹

In order for defendant to be found guilty of this offense, the State must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. Defendant took, operated or exercised control over a motor vehicle.
- 2. Defendant acted with the purpose of withholding the vehicle temporarily from the owner.
- 3. Defendant acted without the consent of the owner or other person authorized to give consent.

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant took, operated or exercised control over a motor vehicle. "Motor vehicle" includes all vehicles propelled otherwise than by muscular power, excepting such vehicles as run only upon rails or tracks or motorized bicycles.²

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant acted with the purpose of withholding the vehicle temporarily from the owner. A person acts

_

N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10b.

² N.J.S.A. 2C:1-14n and N.J.S.A. 39:1-1.

UNLAWFUL TAKING OF MEANS OF

CONVEYANCE - MOTOR VEHICLE

OPERATOR OR ANOTHER EXERCISING

CONTROL

N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10b and -10c

PAGE 2 OF 4

purposely with respect to the nature of (his/her) conduct or a result thereof, if it is (his/her)

conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. A person acts

purposely with respect to attendant circumstance if (he/she) is aware of the existence of such

circumstances or (he/she) believes or hopes that they exist. One can be deemed to be acting

purposely if (he/she) acts with design, with a purpose, with a particular object or if (he/she)

means to do what (he/she) does. In other words, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt

that when defendant took, operated or exercised control over the vehicle (his/her) conscious

object was to withhold same temporarily from the owner.

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

took, operated or exercised control over the vehicle without the consent of the owner or some

other person authorized to give consent.

[If applicable because there is evidence supporting it, the following defense

must be charged]:

In this case you must also consider whether the defendant reasonably believed that the

owner or any other person authorized to give consent would have consented to the defendant's

operation of the vehicle had (he/she) known of it.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty, the State must prove beyond a reasonable

doubt that either the defendant did not believe that the owner or another person authorized to

give consent would have consented to defendant's operation of the vehicle had (he/she) known

of it, or that if defendant had that belief, it was not reasonable.

If you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any element of

the crime, [or has failed to disprove beyond a reasonable doubt defendant's lack of reasonable

belief that (he/she) would have had the consent of the owner or another person authorized to give

UNLAWFUL TAKING OF MEANS OF

CONVEYANCE - MOTOR VEHICLE

OPERATOR OR ANOTHER EXERCISING

CONTROL

N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10b and -10c

PAGE 3 OF 4

consent to (his/her) operation of the vehicle had that person known of it,] then your verdict must

be not guilty of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance.

If you find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the crime

[and has proved beyond a reasonable doubt defendant's lack of reasonable belief that (he/she)

would have had the consent of the owner or another person authorized to give consent to

(his/her) operation of the vehicle had that person known of it,] then you must find defendant

guilty of the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance.

[The following language should be added if appropriate³ for the evidence

introduced in the case]:

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant committed

the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance, you must then also consider whether the

defendant is guilty of the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance and operating it a

manner that creates a risk of injury to any person or damage to property.

A section of our statutes provides that a person commits this crime if, (he/she):

operates the motor vehicle in a manner that creates a risk of injury

to any person or a risk of damage to property.⁴

The State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant's operation of the motor

vehicle was in a manner that created a risk of either injury to any person or damage to property.

"Injury" means physical pain, illness or any impairment of physical condition.

To summarize, if you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt

It may be inappropriate to charge this language if unlawful taking of a means of conveyance has been submitted to the jury as a lesser included offense, rather than as a crime charged in the indictment.

N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10c.

UNLAWFUL TAKING OF MEANS OF

CONVEYANCE - MOTOR VEHICLE

OPERATOR OR ANOTHER EXERCISING

CONTROL

N.J.S.A. 2C:20-10b and -10c

PAGE 4 OF 4

any element of the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance, then you must find the

defendant not guilty of the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance.

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant

committed the crime of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance as I have

defined that crime to you, but you have a reasonable doubt as to whether the State has proven

that the defendant operated the motor vehicle in a manner that created a risk of injury to any

person or of damage to property, then you must find defendant guilty only of unlawful taking of

a means of conveyance.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant both committed the crime of

unlawful taking of a means of conveyance, and operated the motor vehicle in a manner that

created a risk of either injury to any person or of damage to property, then you must find the

defendant guilty of unlawful taking of a means of conveyance creating a risk of injury to any

person or damage to property.