RESISTING ARREST - FLIGHT NOT ALLEGED (<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a)

[Count ______ of T]he indictment charges the defendant with committing the crime

of resisting arrest by [using or threatening to use force or physical violence against _____]

AND/OR [using any (other) means to create a substantial risk of causing physical injury to

_____]. The indictment reads as follows:

(Read Indictment)

The statute on which this charge is based reads as follows:

A person is guilty of an offense if he purposely prevents or attempts to prevent a law enforcement officer from effecting an arrest . . . [and uses or threatens to use physical force or violence against the law enforcement officer or another] AND/OR [uses any (other) means to create a substantial risk of causing physical injury to the public servant or another].¹

In order to convict the defendant of this charge, the State first must prove beyond a

reasonable doubt that defendant committed the basic offense² of resisting arrest. The four elements

of that offense are:

- 1. That ______ was a law enforcement officer.
- 2. That ______ was effecting an arrest.

¹ <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a(1) and (3).

In <u>State v. Simms</u>, 369 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 466, 472 (App. Div. 2004), the Court reversed a conviction for third degree resisting arrest because, "although the jury was told which elements had to be found in order for defendant to be guilty of some crime, that is, resisting, resisting by flight, or resisting by physical force, it was not made aware of the significance of its findings in terms of the seriousness, i.e., the grading, of the offense. It should have been clearly apprised of that consequence of its various findings." This language, however, appears to depart from numerous appellate decisions holding that juries should not be instructed as to the sentencing consequences of their decisions in order not to distract them from their essential fact-finding function. Since this portion of <u>Simms</u> was intended to provide the "context that we consider defendant's plain-error argument that the jury should have been charged on self-defense" (<u>id</u>. at 472), the Committee has decided not to specify the degree of each form of resisting arrest in this model charge. Rather, in describing the various elements of the offense, as well as in the final paragraphs that describe the various verdicts that the jury can arrive at, the charge uses the terms "basic offense" (disorderly persons resisting), "more serious offense" (fourth degree resisting with flight), and "the most serious offense charged in the indictment, which is the most serious form of the crime" (third degree resisting pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C: 29-2a(1)(a) or (b)).

RESISTING ARREST - FLIGHT NOT ALLEGED (N.J.S.A. 2C:29-2a) Page 2 of 5

- 3. That defendant knew or had reason to know that ______ was a law enforcement officer effecting an arrest.
- That defendant purposely prevented or attempted to prevent _______
 from effecting the arrest.

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that ______ was a law enforcement officer. A law enforcement officer is a person whose public duties include the power to act as an officer for the detection, apprehension, arrest and conviction of offenders against the laws of this State.³

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that ______ was effecting an arrest. It is not a defense to a prosecution under this subsection that the law enforcement officer was acting unlawfully in making the arrest, provided (he/she) was acting under color of (his/her) official authority and provided the law enforcement officer announces (his/her) intention to arrest prior to the resistance.⁴

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant knew or had reason to know that ______ was a law enforcement officer effecting an arrest.⁵ A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of (his/her) conduct or the attendant circumstances if (he/she) is aware that (his/her) conduct is of that nature, or that such circumstances exist, or (he/she) is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with respect to a result of (his/her) conduct if (he/she) is aware that (his/her) is aware that it is practically certain that (his/her)

³ <u>See N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:25-19c.

⁴ Where the issue arises, the jury should also be instructed that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the law enforcement officer was, in fact, acting under color of law and did announce (his/her) intention to arrest. <u>See State v. Kane</u>, 303 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 167, 181-182 (App. Div. 1997).

State v. Parsons, 270 N.J. Super. 213, 222 (App. Div. 1994).

RESISTING ARREST - FLIGHT NOT ALLEGED (<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a) Page 3 of 5

conduct will cause such a result. "Knowing," "with knowledge" or equivalent terms have the same meaning.⁶

The fourth element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant purposely prevented or attempted to prevent _______ from effecting the arrest. A person acts purposely with respect to the nature of (his/her) conduct or a result of (his/her) conduct if it is (his/her) conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. That is, a person acts purposely if (he/she) means to act in a certain way or to cause a certain result. A person acts purposely with respect to attendant circumstances if (he/she) is aware of the existence of such circumstances or (he/she) believes or hopes that they exist. "With purpose," "designed," "with design," or equivalent terms have the same meaning.⁷

Purpose and knowledge are conditions of the mind which cannot be seen and can only be determined by inference from conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is rarely susceptible of direct proof, but must ordinarily be inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is not necessary, members of the jury, that the State produce witnesses to testify that an accused said that (he/she) had a certain state of mind when (he/she) engaged in a particular act. It is within your power to find that such proof has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the nature of (his/her) acts and (his/her) conduct, and from all (he/she) said and did at the particular time and place, and from all the surrounding circumstances.

If you find that the State has failed to prove any one of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty.

⁶ <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:2-2b(2).

⁷ <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:2-2b(1).

RESISTING ARREST - FLIGHT NOT ALLEGED (<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a) Page 4 of 5

If you find that the State has proven the basic offense of resisting arrest beyond a reasonable doubt, you must continue your deliberations to consider the offense charged in the indictment, which is the most serious form of the crime of resisting arrest: namely,

[CHOOSE APPROPRIATE ALTERNATIVE]

whether the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that, in resisting arrest, the defendant used or threatened to use physical force or violence against a law enforcement officer or another.⁸ "Another" against whom physical force or violence is used or threatened does not include defendant him/herself.⁹ Physical force means the exercise of strength or power against the victim. That force need not entail pain or bodily harm and need not leave any mark.¹⁰ Physical violence means dynamic power showing great strength, power, intensity, fury, and destructiveness.¹¹

[**OR**]

whether the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that, in resisting arrest, the defendant used any (other) means to create a substantial risk of causing physical injury to a public servant or another.¹² "Another" against whom any (other) means of creating a substantial risk of causing physical injury is used does not include defendant him/herself.¹³ Physical Injury means physical pain, illness, or any impairment of physical condition.¹⁴ A substantial risk is one that is of such a nature and degree that, considering the nature and purpose of the defendant's conduct and the

¹³ <u>State v. Bunch</u>, 180 <u>N.J.</u> 534, 546 (2004).

⁸ <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a(3)(a).

⁹ <u>State v. Bunch</u>, 180 <u>N.J.</u> 534, 546 (2004).

¹⁰ State v. Brannon, 178 <u>N.</u>J. 500, 504 and 510 (2004).

¹¹ <u>Id</u>. at 510.

¹² <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:29-2a(3)(b). Although the broad definition of "public servant" in <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:27-1g is applicable to Chapter 29 offenses, there is no need to define that term any differently than "law enforcement officer" in the context of resisting arrest. "[<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2] was altered before enactment...to limit the broad category of 'public servant' to 'law enforcement officer'..." Cannel, <u>Criminal Code Annotated</u>, Comment 1, <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2 (2006 Ed.).

¹⁴ <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:11-1a. <u>See State v. Wallace</u>, 158 <u>N.J.</u> 552, 558 (1999).

RESISTING ARREST - FLIGHT NOT ALLEGED (<u>N.J.S.A</u>. 2C:29-2a) Page 5 of 5

circumstances known to (him/her), its disregard involves a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable-person would observe in the defendant's situation. In other words, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant knew that it was very likely that (his/her) conduct would create a risk of causing physical injury to_____, but that (he/she) went ahead anyway, where a reasonable person would not. I have already defined knowing for you.

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt all five elements of the offense then you must find the defendant guilty of resisting arrest by [choose applicable provision(s) of <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:29-2a(3)], the offense charged in the indictment, the most serious form of the crime of resisting arrest. If the State has failed to prove the fifth element beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant guilty of the basic offense of resisting arrest.¹⁵

¹⁵ <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:1-13a and <u>State v. Ragland</u>, 105 <u>N.J.</u> 189 (1986). The jury should be provided with a verdict form which will allow them to record which verdict they have entered.