PATTERN OF OFFICIAL MISCONDUCT (N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Count	of the Indictment charges the defe	endant with the cri	me of a "Pattern of
Official Misconduct."	[Read count of the Indictment.]	The statute upon	which this charge is
based reads as follows:			

A person commits the crime of pattern of official misconduct if he (or she) commits two or more acts that violate the provisions of [choose appropriate:] N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2 or N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6.

In order to convict the defendant of this charge, the State must prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. That the defendant knowingly committed two or more acts¹;
- That the two or more acts the defendant committed violated the provisions of [choose appropriate:] N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2 (Official Misconduct) or N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights).

The first element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant knowingly committed two or more acts. [State the two or more acts alleged by the State which constitute this charge. (Charge if appropriate: The defense asserts _______.)].

A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or the attendant circumstances if he/she is aware that his/her conduct is of that nature, or that such circumstances exist, or he/she is aware of the high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly as to a result of his/her conduct will cause such a result. Knowing, with knowledge, or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

Knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen. It can only be determined by inferences from conduct, words or acts. Therefore, it is not necessary for the State to produce witnesses to testify that particular defendant stated, for example, that he acted with knowledge

_

The Model Jury Charge Committee agrees that a knowing state of mind is applicable to the conduct in this element. N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2c(3). The Committee, however, wishes to alert the court and counsel that there may be a question whether knowingly also modifies the number of acts alleged to have been committed.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Page 2 of 6

when he had dominion and control over a particular thing. It is within your power to find that

proof of knowledge has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise

from the nature of the acts and the surrounding circumstances.

The second element which the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the two

or more acts the defendant committed violated the provisions of [choose appropriate:] N.J.S.A.

2C:30-2 (Official Misconduct) [or] N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights).

[Choose appropriate paragraphs]

I have already instructed you on the crime of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2 (Official Misconduct) or

N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights). ² Even though you may have already

considered that crime [those crimes] as part of your deliberations under count[s]

of the indictment, you must now again decide if the State has proven that crime [those crimes]

with proof beyond a reasonable doubt in order for the State to meet its burden of proof for this

charge.

It is not a defense to this charge that the violations were not part of a common plan or

scheme or did not have similar methods of commission.

If you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt either of these

two elements, then you must find the defendant not guilty. If, on the other hand, you find that

the State has proven each of these two elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find

the defendant guilty.

[Charge if second-degree Pattern of Official Misconduct is alleged]

Furthermore, if you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the

defendant is guilty of a Pattern of Official Misconduct, then you must consider if the State has

-

In the unlikely event that the State has not charged the defendant with the underlying crimes of either <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:30-2 (Official Misconduct) or <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:30-6 (Deprivation of Civil Rights), then the court must instruct the jury on these underlying crimes (whichever is alleged in the indictment) by giving the appropriate model

jury charge(s) at this point in this charge.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Page 3 of 6

proven beyond a reasonable doubt that one of the acts committed was a first or second degree

crime.

[Choose appropriate paragraphs]

[N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2 – Official Misconduct – second degree]

A section of our statutes provides that a Pattern of Official Misconduct is a crime of the

third-degree, except that it is a crime of the second-degree if one of the acts committed is a first

or second degree crime. I have already charged you on the crime of Official Misconduct,

N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2. If the State has proven each element of this crime beyond a reasonable doubt

and in so doing, has proven each element of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-2 (Official Misconduct) beyond a

reasonable doubt, you must determine the fair market value of the benefit involved. The State

must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the value of the benefit involved [If appropriate add:

for each specific instance concerning which you have reached a verdict of guilty] exceeds \$200.

(OR)

[N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 – Official Deprivation of Civil Rights³]

A section of our statutes provides that a Pattern of Official Misconduct is a crime of the

third-degree, except that it is a crime of the second-degree if one of the acts committed is a first

or second degree crime. I have already charged you on the crime of Official Deprivation of Civil

Rights. If the State has proven each element of this crime beyond a reasonable doubt and in so

doing, has proven each element of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights)

beyond a reasonable doubt, you must determine if the State has proven beyond a reasonable

doubt that bodily injury has resulted from depriving a person of a right or a privilege in violation

of the crime of N.J.S.A 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights). If so, the State has

proven the second-degree crime of Official Deprivation of Civil Rights.

_

N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6b (2).

(N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Page 4 of 6

Bodily injury means physical pain, illness or any impairment of physical condition. ⁴

The State must also prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the bodily injury has resulted from depriving a person of privilege in violation of <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights).

If the State has proven these two additional elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find that bodily injury has resulted from depriving a person of a right or a privilege in violation of the crime of second-degree N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights). If the State has failed to prove either of these two additional elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find that no bodily injury has resulted from depriving a person of a privilege in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights).

(OR)

[N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6b (3)]

If the State has proven each element of this crime beyond a reasonable doubt and in so doing, has proven each element of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights) beyond a reasonable doubt, you must determine if the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that during the course of violating the provision of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights), a public servant committed or attempted to commit or conspired to commit [choose appropriate:] murder, manslaughter, kidnapping or aggravated sexual assault [read in all situations:] against a person who is being deprived of a right or privilege in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil Rights). ⁵ If so, the State has proven the first-degree crime of Official Deprivation of Civil Rights in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6b(3) and therefore has

-

⁴ N.J.S.A. 2C:11-1 (a).

Depending on what is alleged by the State in the indictment, the court must also charge the underlying predicate crime(s). If an attempt is also alleged, then the attempt charge with the purposeful mental state must be charged. See N.J.S.A. 2C:5-1a. If the State alleges a conspiracy, then the Conspiracy charge must also be given. See N.J.S.A. 2C:5-2.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Page 5 of 6

violated the second-degree crime of Pattern of Official Misconduct (N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7b) [the

charge which you are considering at this point].

A public servant means any officer or employee of government including legislators and

judges, and any person participating as juror, advisor, and consultant or otherwise, in performing

governmental function, but the term does not include witnesses.

Government includes any branch, subdivision or agency of the government of the State or

any locality within it. 6

If you have found that the State has proven each of these additional elements beyond a

reasonable doubt, then you must find that the defendant is guilty of the second-degree crime of

Pattern of Official Misconduct by being a public servant who has committed or attempted to

commit or conspired to commit [choose appropriate:] murder, manslaughter, kidnapping or

aggravated sexual assault [read in all situations:] against a person who is being deprived of a

right or privilege in violation of first-degree N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official Deprivation of Civil

Rights). If, on the other hand, you find that the State has failed to prove any of these additional

elements beyond a reasonable doubt, then you must find the defendant not guilty of [the second-

degree crime of Pattern of Official Misconduct in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7b] [or] [choose

appropriate:] committed or attempted to commit or conspired to commit [choose appropriate:]

murder, manslaughter, kidnapping or aggravated sexual assault [read in all situations:] against a

person who is being deprived of a right or privilege in violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:30-6 (Official

Deprivation of Civil Rights).

In summary, if you find that the State has failed to prove any of the elements of the crime

of Pattern of Official Misconduct, then you must find the defendant not guilty. If you find that

the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of the crime of Pattern of

Official Misconduct but has failed to prove that one of the acts committed is a first or second

6

N.J.S.A. 2C:27-1b.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:30-7)

Page 6 of 6

degree crime then you must find the defendant guilty of Pattern of Official Misconduct in the

third degree. If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements

of the crime of Pattern of Official Misconduct and also has proven beyond a reasonable doubt

that one of the acts committed is a first or second degree crime, then you must find the defendant

guilty of a second degree Pattern of Official Misconduct.