OBSCENITY FOR PERSONS UNDER 18 (PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL) N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2)

Defendant is charged in count	of the indictment with promoting obscene material
to [a] minor[s].	

[READ COUNT OF INDICTMENT]

The statute under which this charge is based reads in pertinent part:

A person who knowingly shows obscene material to a person under 18 years of age with the knowledge or purpose to arouse, gratify or stimulate himself or another is guilty of a crime ... if the person showing the obscene material is at least four years older than the person under 18 years of age viewing the material.

In order to convict defendant of this charge, the State must prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. That defendant knowingly showed material;
- 2. That the material defendant showed was obscene;
- 3. That defendant showed the obscene material to a person under 18 years of age;
- 4. That defendant was at least 4 years older than ______(NAME OF ALLEGED VICTIM);
- 5. That defendant knew the character and content of the obscene material [CHARGE IF APPROPRIATE: or should have known the character and content of the obscene material]; and
- 6. That defendant showed the obscene material with the knowledge or purpose to arouse, gratify or stimulate himself/herself or another.

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

(PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL)

(N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2))

Page 2 of 6

knowingly showed material.

A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or the attendant

circumstances if he/she is aware that the conduct is of that nature or that such circumstances exist

or the person is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with

respect to a result of the conduct if he/she is aware that it is practically certain that the conduct

would cause a result. "Knowing," "with knowledge," or equivalent terms have the same

meaning.

Knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen and can only be determined by

inference from defendant's conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is rarely susceptible of direct

proof but must ordinarily be inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is not necessary that the State

produce witnesses to testify that an accused said that he/she had a certain state of mind when

he/she did a particular thing. It is within your power to find that such proof has been furnished

beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the nature of his/her acts and

conduct and from all he/she said and did at the particular time and place and from all the

surrounding circumstances established by the evidence.

Showed means defendant either caused or allowed the material to be seen.¹

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the

material shown was obscene material.

Obscene material means any description, narrative account, display, depiction of a

specified anatomical area or specified sexual activity contained in, or consisting of, a picture or

N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3a(7).

.

(PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL)

(N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2))

Page 3 of 6

other representation, publication, sound recording, live performance or film, which by means of posing, composition, format or animated sensual details, emits sensuality with sufficient impact to concentrate prurient interest on the area or activity.²

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the person to whom defendant showed the obscene material was under 18 years of age.

The fourth element the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant is at least 4 years older than ______(NAME OF ALLEGED VICTIM).

The fifth element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant had knowledge of the character and content of the material or film, [or failed to exercise reasonable inspection which would have disclosed its character or content]. ³

The requisite knowledge with regard to the character and content of the material and of the age of the person may be inferred when an actor shows obscene material to a person under 18 years of age.

An inference is a deduction of fact that may be drawn logically and reasonably from another fact or group of facts established by the evidence. Whether or not an inference should be drawn is for you to decide using your own common sense, knowledge and everyday experience.

N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3a(5). The Committee wishes to point out, the statute, as codified, has a self-contained definition of "knowingly", which states a person may be considered to have acted "knowingly" for this statute if the defendant had knowledge of the character and content of the material or film alleged to be obscene, N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3(a)(5)(a), or if the defendant failed to "exercise reasonable inspection which would disclose its material." N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3(a)(5)(b). This definition of "knowingly" is broader than the definition of "knowingly" found at N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2(b)(2).

If subsection (b) is relied upon, the court should make sure that appropriate cautionary instructions are given to the jury as to the particular meaning of "knowingly" that the jury should use in the context of the case.

² N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3a(1).

(PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL)

(N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2))

Page 4 of 6

Ask yourselves, is it probable, logical and reasonable? However, you are never required or

compelled to draw an inference. You alone decide whether the facts and circumstances shown

by the evidence support an inference and you are always free to draw or not to draw an

inference. If you draw an inference, you should weigh it in connection with all the other

evidence in the case, keeping in mind that the burden of proof is upon the State to prove all the

elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt.

The sixth element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

showed the obscene material with the knowledge or purpose to arouse, gratify or stimulate

himself/herself or another.

I have already defined knowingly. The same definition applies to this element of the

offense as well.

A person acts purposely with respect to the nature of his conduct or a result thereof if it is

his/her conscious object to engage in conduct of that nature or to cause such a result. A person

acts purposely with respect to attendant circumstances if he/she is aware of the existence of such

circumstances or he/she believes or hopes that they exist. "With purpose," "designed," "with

design" or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

As in the case of knowledge, purpose is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen and

can only be determined by inference from defendant's conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is

rarely susceptible of direct proof but must ordinarily be inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is

not necessary that the State produce witnesses to testify that an accused said that he/she had a

certain state of mind when he/she did a particular thing. It is within your power to find that such

(PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL)

(N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2))

Page 5 of 6

proof has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the

nature of his/her acts and conduct and from all he/she said and did at the particular time and

place and from all the surrounding circumstances established by the evidence.

If after a consideration of all the evidence, you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt,

that the State has proven all of the elements of the crime, then your verdict must be guilty.

If, however, after a consideration of all the evidence, you find that the State has failed to

prove each and every element of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt, your verdict must be not

guilty.

[CHARGE WHERE APPROPRIATE]

It is an affirmative defense to a prosecution of this offense, which the defendant must

prove by a preponderance of the evidence, that:

(a) The person under age 18 falsely represented in or by writing that he/she was age

18 or over; and⁴

(b) The person's appearance was such that an individual of ordinary prudence would

believe him/her to be age 18 or over; and

(c) The showing to the person was made in good faith relying upon such written

representation and appearance and in the reasonable belief that he/she was

actually age 18 or over.

The term "preponderance of the evidence" means that amount of evidence that causes

See State v. Blecker, 155 N.J. Super. 93, 102 (App. Div. 1978) (holding that a similar statutory defense within the predecessor statute, N.J.S.A. 2A:115-1.8, required that "a defendant must establish 'not some but all of the factual elements enumerated in the enactment relating thereto." Cf. Sportsman 300 v. Nutley Bd. Of Comm'rs,

42 N.J. Super. 488, 493 (App. Div. 1956).)

(PROMOTING OBSCENE MATERIAL)

(N.J.S.A. 2C:34-3b(2))

Page 6 of 6

you to conclude that the affirmative defense is probably true. To prove an affirmative defense by

the preponderance of the evidence, the defendant must convince you that it is more probable than

not.

If the evidence on a particular issue is equally balanced, that issue has not been proven by

a preponderance of the evidence. Therefore, the party having the burden of proving that issue

has failed with respect to that particular issue.

Keep in mind, however, that although the burden rests upon the defendant to establish the

affirmative defense by a preponderance of the credible evidence, the burden to establish the

defendant guilty of the offense charged here beyond a reasonable doubt is always on the State,

and that burden never shifts.

If after a consideration of all the evidence, you find that the State has not proven beyond

a reasonable doubt all the elements of the offense, then you must find the defendant not guilty of

promoting obscene material.

If after a consideration of all the evidence, you find that the State has proven beyond a

reasonable doubt all the elements of the offense, and if you also find that the defendant has not

established the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then you must find the

defendant guilty of promoting obscene material.

If after a consideration of all the evidence, you find that the State has proven beyond a

reasonable doubt all the elements of the offense, and if you also find that the defendant has

established the affirmative defense by a preponderance of the evidence, then you must find the

defendant not guilty of promoting obscene material.