## CRIMINAL MISCHIEF – INTERFERES OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC. (N.J.S.A. 2C:17-3b(5))

hat						
	(Read material par	t of Coun	nt	to jur	v)	

Defendant is charged with violating a provision of our law that provides that a person is guilty of criminal mischief if he/she interferes or tampers with any airport, landing field, landing strip, heliport, helistop or any other aviation facility.

In order to convict defendant of this offense, you must find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following three elements:<sup>1</sup>

- 1. That (name of affected location) is an airport/landing field/landing strip/heliport/helistop or other aviation facility;
  - 2. That defendant tampered or interfered with (name of affected location); and
  - 3. That defendant acted knowingly when he/she engaged in such conduct.<sup>2</sup>

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that (<u>name of affected location</u>) is an airport/landing field/landing strip/heliport/helistop or other aviation facility.

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant interfered or tampered with (<u>name of affected location</u>). To interfere means to act in opposition

The form of the offense defined here is a fourth degree crime. Second and third degree versions of the offense contain additional elements and are considered within.

N.J.S.A. 2C:17-3b(5) does not set forth any culpability standard for the offense. The statute should therefore be construed to require proof that defendant acted knowingly. See N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2c(3).

<u>CRIMINAL MISCHIEF - INTERFERES</u>

OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC.

 $(N.J.S.A.\ 2C:17-3b(5))$ 

Page 2 of 6

or to hamper the operation of something.<sup>3</sup> To tamper with means to interfere with another

person's property, regardless of whether the property interfered with is actually damaged.

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

acted knowingly. A defendant acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his/her conduct or

the attendant circumstances if he/she is aware that his/her conduct is of that nature, or that such

circumstances exist, or he/she is aware of a high probability of their existence. A defendant acts

knowingly with respect to a result of his/her conduct if defendant is aware that it is practically

certain that his/her conduct will cause such a result.<sup>4</sup>

You should understand that knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen. It

can only be determined by inferences from conduct, words or acts. Therefore, it is not necessary

for the State to produce witnesses to testify that defendant stated, for example, that he/she acted

with knowledge when he/she did a particular thing. It is within your power to find that proof of

knowledge has been furnished beyond a reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the

nature of the acts and the surrounding circumstances. The place where the acts occurred and all

that was done or said by defendant preceding, connected with, and immediately succeeding the

events in question are among the circumstances to be considered. Thus, for you to find that the

defendant acted knowingly, you must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant

knew what he/she was doing, and that defendant was aware that the nature of his/her conduct and

the attendant circumstances were such as to make it practically certain that his/her conduct would

interfere or tamper with (name of airport, etc.).

See The Random House Dictionary of the English Language (2d ed., unabridged).

<sup>4</sup> See N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2b(2).

<u>CRIMINAL MISCHIEF - INTERFERES</u>

OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:17-3b(5))

Page 3 of 6

If you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any element of

the offense, you must find defendant not guilty.

[CHARGE AS FOLLOWS IF SUBMITTING ONLY 4<sup>TH</sup> DEGREE OFFENSE TO JURY]

On the other hand, if you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt every

element of the offense, you must find defendant guilty.

[CHARGE AS FOLLOWS IF SUBMITTING TO JURY THE 3<sup>RD</sup> DEGREE OFFENSE OF AIRPORT INTERFERENCE CRIMINAL MISCHIEF WITH BODILY

INJURY/DAMAGE TO PROPERTYAS WELL AS THE 4<sup>TH</sup> DEGREE OFFENSE]

If you find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all three of the elements

of criminal mischief that I have defined for you, you must then go on in your deliberations to

consider two additional elements: You must determine whether the State has proved beyond a

reasonable doubt:

4. That defendant's interference or tampering with (name of airport, etc.) caused

bodily injury to another person. Bodily injury means physical pain, illness or any impairment of

physical condition.<sup>5</sup>

OR

4. That defendant's interference or tampering with (name of airport, etc.) caused

damage to property. Any damage to property, no matter how nominal, satisfies this element of

the statute; and

5. That defendant acted recklessly with respect to the infliction of this bodily

injury/damage to property.6

5

See N.J.S.A. 2C:11-1a.

<u>CRIMINAL MISCHIEF - INTERFERES</u>

OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC.

 $(N.J.S.A.\ 2C:17-3b(5))$ 

Page 4 of 6

A defendant acts recklessly when he/she consciously disregards a substantial and

unjustifiable risk. A conscious disregard requires that defendant actually be aware of the risk,

but that he/she ignores it anyway. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that, considering

the nature and purpose of defendant's conduct and the circumstances known to him/her, its

disregard involves a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable person would

observe in the same situation.<sup>7</sup> In other words, for you to find that defendant acted recklessly,

you must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant was aware of and disregarded a

substantial and unjustifiable risk that his/her conduct would cause bodily injury/damage to

property.

If you find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all five of the elements of

criminal mischief with bodily injury/damage to property, you must find defendant guilty of that

offense. If, however, you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt either

element four (bodily injury/damage to property) or element five (recklessness) but that the State

has proved beyond a reasonable doubt the first three elements of the offense, you must find

defendant not guilty of criminal mischief with bodily injury/damage to property but must find

defendant guilty of criminal mischief. Finally, if you find that the State has failed to prove

beyond a reasonable doubt any of the first three elements that were explained to you, you must

find defendant not guilty of any form of criminal mischief.

[CHARGE AS FOLLOWS IF SUBMITTING TO JURY 2<sup>ND</sup> DEGREE OFFENSE OF AIRPORT INTERFERENCE CRIMINAL MISCHIEF CAUSING A

If the infliction of bodily injury had been defendant's purpose or intention when interfering/tampering with the airport, defendant would also be exposed to prosecution under assault statutes. Reckless conduct which causes

bodily injury is also prosecutable as an assault. See N.J.S.A. 2C:12-1a(1).

See N.J.S.A. 2C:2-2b(3).

**CRIMINAL MISCHIEF - INTERFERES** 

OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC.

 $(N.J.S.A.\ 2C:17-3b(5))$ 

Page 5 of 6

**DEATH AS WELL AS THE 4<sup>TH</sup> DEGREE OFFENSE**]

If you find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all three of the elements

of criminal mischief that I have defined for you, you must then go on in your deliberations to

consider two additional elements. You must determine whether the State has proved beyond a

reasonable doubt that:

4. That defendant's interference or tampering with (name of airport, etc.) caused the

death of (name of deceased); and

5. That defendant acted recklessly with respect to causing this death.

A defendant acts recklessly when he/she consciously disregards a substantial and

unjustifiable risk. A conscious disregard requires that defendant actually be aware of the risk,

but that he/she ignores it anyway. The risk must be of such a nature and degree that, considering

the nature and purpose of defendant's conduct and the circumstances known to him/her, its

disregard involves a gross deviation from the standard of conduct that a reasonable person would

observe in the same situation.<sup>8</sup> In other words, for you to find that defendant acted recklessly,

you must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant was aware of and disregarded a

substantial and unjustifiable risk that his/her conduct would cause the death of another person.

If you find that the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all five of the elements of

criminal mischief causing a death, you must find defendant guilty of that offense. If, however,

you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt either element four (death

of another person) or element five (recklessness) but that the State has proved beyond a

reasonable doubt the first three elements of the offense, you must find defendant not guilty of

If causing death had been defendant's purpose or intention when interfering/tampering with the airport, defendant would also be exposed to prosecution under homicide statutes. Reckless conduct which causes death is

also prosecutable as a homicide (manslaughter). See N.J.S.A. 2C:11-3 and 4.

**CRIMINAL MISCHIEF - INTERFERES** 

OR TAMPERS WITH AIRPORT, ETC.

(N.J.S.A. 2C:17-3b(5))

Page 6 of 6

criminal mischief causing a death but must find defendant guilty of criminal mischief. Finally, if

you find that the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any of the first three

elements that were explained to you, you must find defendant not guilty of any form of criminal

mischief.