AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIM AT LEAST 13 BUT LESS THAN 16 (N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2a(2))

Count	of the indictment	charges the	e defendant	with	aggravated	sexual
assault. [READ COUNT OF	'INDICTMENT].					

That section of our statutes provides in pertinent part:

An actor is guilty of aggravated sexual assault if he commits an act of sexual penetration with another person where the victim is at least thirteen but less than sixteen years old and:

[CHOOSE APPROPRIATE]

The actor is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the third degree,¹

OR

The actor has supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim because of his legal, professional or occupational status,

OR

The actor is a foster parent, guardian, or stands in *loco parentis* within the household.

In order to convict defendant of this charge, the State must prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. That the defendant committed an act of sexual penetration.
- 2. That at the time of the penetration the victim was at least thirteen but less than sixteen years old and

First degree--parents and children; Second degree--grandparents, grandchildren, brothers and sisters; Third degree--uncles, aunts, nieces, nephews, great grandparents, great grandchildren. <u>See generally Smith v. Gaines</u>, 36 N.J. Eq. 297 (E. & A. 1882).

VICTIM AT LEAST 13 BUT LESS THAN 16

N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2a(2)

Page 2 of 5

3. That the defendant is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the first,

second or third degree.

OR

That the defendant has supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim

because of his/her legal, professional or occupational status.

OR

That the defendant is a foster parent, guardian or stands in *loco parentis*

within the household.

4. That the defendant acted knowingly.

The first element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

committed an act of sexual penetration with (name of victim).

According to the law, [choose appropriate] vaginal intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal

intercourse or insertion of the hand, finger or object into the anus or vagina, either by the defendant

or by another person upon the defendant's instruction, constitute(s) "sexual penetration." Any

amount of insertion, however slight, constitutes penetration; that is, the depth of insertion is not

relevant.

[Choose the appropriate definition(s):]

The definition of "vaginal intercourse" is the penetration of the vagina, or [where

appropriate] of the space between the labia majora or outer lips of the vulva.²

State v. J.A., 337 N.J. Super. 114 (Ap. Div. 2001). The Appellate Division upheld the charge given by the trial court in that case which included the following language which can be used if the

VICTIM AT LEAST 13 BUT LESS THAN 16

N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2a(2)

Page 3 of 5

The definition of "cunnilingus" is oral contact with the female sex organ.³

The definition of "fellatio" is oral contact with the male sexual organ.⁴

The definition of "anal intercourse" is penetration, however slight, into the anus.⁵

The second element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that at the time of the penetration, (name of victim) was at least 13 years old but less than 16 years old.

The third element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that

Defendant is related to the victim by blood or affinity to the first, second or third degree. Here, the State alleges that defendant is related to (name of victim) by (type of relationship).

OR

Defendant had supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim because of his/her legal, professional or occupational status. In this case, the State alleges that defendant had [supervisory] [disciplinary] power over (name of victim) because of defendant's status as (insert allegation). In determining whether defendant had [supervisory] [disciplinary] power over (name of victim), you must examine the entire context of the relationship between the defendant and (name of victim). To

circumstances of the specific case are appropriate: "This means that if you find from all of the evidence presented beyond a reasonable doubt that there was [penile] penetration to the outer area of the vaginal opening, what is commonly referred to as the vaginal lips, that is sufficient to establish penetration under the law."

³ <u>State v. Fraction</u>, 206 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 532, 535-36 (App. Div. 1985), <u>certif. denied</u>, 104 <u>N.J</u>. 434 (1986).

State in the Interest of S.M., 284 N.J. Super. 611, 616-19 (App. Div. 1995).

⁵ <u>State v. Gallagher</u>, 286 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 1, 13 (App. Div. 1995), <u>certif. denied</u>, 146 <u>N.J</u>. 569 (1996).

VICTIM AT LEAST 13 BUT LESS THAN 16

N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2a(2)

Page 4 of 5

do so, you should consider the nature of the relationship between the defendant and the victim and

whether the relationship was so unequal as to vest [supervisory] [disciplinary] power in the

defendant. Among the factors you may consider are whether there was a significant disparity in ages

and/or maturity level between the defendant and victim, whether the defendant offered advice and

guidance to the (name of victim) on questions and issues outside the defendant's role as _____

and the power or ability of the defendant to affect the (name of victim) future participation or

success.6

OR

Defendant is (name of victim) foster parent, (name of victim) guardian or stands in *loco*

parentis, that is, in the place of the (name of victim) parents within the household.

The fourth element that the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that defendant

acted knowingly. A person acts knowingly with respect to the nature of his conduct or the attendant

circumstances if he is aware that the conduct is of that nature or that such circumstances exist or the

person is aware of a high probability of their existence. A person acts knowingly with respect to a

result of the conduct if he is aware that it is practically certain that the conduct will cause a result.

"Knowing," "with knowledge," or equivalent terms have the same meaning.

Knowledge is a condition of the mind. It cannot be seen. It can only be determined by

inference from defendant's conduct, words or acts. A state of mind is rarely susceptible of direct

-

These factors are suggested by <u>State v. Buscham</u>, 360 <u>N.J. Super</u>. 346, 362 (App. Div. 2003), where the issue was defendant's role as a coach. The parties should identify factors in their own particular case which would be significant for the jury to consider in determining whether the defendant had supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim.

VICTIM AT LEAST 13 BUT LESS THAN 16

N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2a(2)

Page 5 of 5

proof but must ordinarily be inferred from the facts. Therefore, it is not necessary that the State

produce witnesses to testify that an accused said that he had a certain state of mind when he did a

particular thing. It is within your power to find that such proof has been furnished beyond a

reasonable doubt by inference which may arise from the nature of his acts and conduct and from all

he said and did at the particular time and place and from all surrounding circumstances established

by the evidence.

If you find that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of these four elements,

then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime of aggravated sexual assault. On the other

hand, if you find that the State has failed to prove any of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt,

then you must find the defendant not guilty of aggravated sexual assault.

(Continue to lesser included offenses where required.)